UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,663	05/12/2008	Hideaki Yoshida	F-9177	1648
	7590 11/09/201 O HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42ND STREET			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence	10/594,663	YOSHIDA, HIDEAKI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michele M. Kidwell	3761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 At</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 5-8 is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/594,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, figures 1-3, in the reply filed on February 22, 2011 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The applicant states that claim 3 has been amended to convert to a dependent claim as should be included Group I. Claim 3, as correctly noted by applicant, is supported by figures 6 and 7. Since figures 6 - 7 are encompassed by Group IV as set forth in the original election of species requirement, this claim will not be considered for examination purposes as applicant has elected Group I.

Accordingly, claims 2 – 3 and 5 – 8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on February 22, 2011.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

With reference to claims 1 and 4, Ronnberg discloses a development type paper diaper (abstract) adapted to be worn on a human body for absorbing and holding excrement, comprising a back sheet (16), a first absorbent (12), a leakage preventing sheet (22) allowing no liquid permeation, a second absorbent (14) and a top sheet (20) in the recited order, wherein said first absorbent extends, when worn, from the back side through the crotch to the abdomen side of the user. said second absorbent extends, when worn, from the back side to the crotch of the user, and said second absorbent leaves said first absorbent from said crotch to said back side (see figures) thereby to form a pocket (28) for holding the excrement between said first absorbent and said second absorbent, said leakage preventing sheet, when viewed in section along a longitudinal axis of the diaper, extends from a terminal end of said leakage preventing sheet positioned substantially at a midpoint of the crotch of the user to the back side of the user and is arranged to contact in said pocket with the second absorbent therealong the entire length of the leakage preventing sheet that is contactable with the second absorbent throughout an entirety of the pocket, and said topsheet (20) is so conformed to the shape of the pocket so as to cover the leakage preventing sheet as set forth in the figures. The excrement containing gathering (30) is shown best in figures 1 and 4-7.

With reference to claim 9, cubic leg gatherings (23) are disposed along the first absorbent as set forth in figure 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronnberg (US 5,897,544).

With respect to claim 10, Ronnberg teaches the invention substantially as claimed with the disclosure of pulp in col. 3, lines 65 - 68.

The difference between Ronnberg and claim 10 is the provision that the pulp has a specific arrangement.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrange the disclosed pulp as desired since it has been held that the rearrangement of essential working parts of a device is within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 and 9 - 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele M. Kidwell whose telephone number is (571)272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,663 Page 6

Art Unit: 3761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michele Kidwell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761